



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,666

05/01/2006

Toshihisa Nagata

R2184.0492/P492

8451

24998 7590 07/01/2009
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 EYE STREET NW
Washington, DC 20006-5403

EXAMINER

BEHM, HARRY RAYMOND

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2838

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/01/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/577,666	Applicant(s) NAGATA ET AL.	
	Examiner HARRY BEHM	Art Unit 2838	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/27/09</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/17/09 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/27/09 has been considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/17/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Devore does not disclose wherein the plural transistors are located around a center portion and are not located in the center portion. However, as detailed in the office action dated 2/12/09, Devore discloses a semiconductor device comprising a plurality of transistors 38, 40, 43 and 44 in Figure 3 which are located around a center portion and are not located in the center portion. Applicant has not claimed wherein all the plural transistors of the monitor transistor are located only around a center portion of the area of the semiconductor chip and are not located in the center portion. Applicant further argues Devore does not disclose wherein the monitor transistor is not located in the center portion. However, the monitor transistor of Devore

Art Unit: 2838

can not be located in the center portion of the chip since it comprises components distributed around the periphery of the chip.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Devore (US 5,408,141).

With respect to Claim 1, Devore discloses a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor chip (Fig. 3 25); a driver transistor (Fig. 3 26) mounted on said semiconductor chip; a monitor transistor (Fig. 1 18) for detecting electric current flowing in said driver transistor; and a plurality of transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,42,44) provided in the monitor transistor and connected in parallel;

wherein the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,42,44) are disposed at a periphery of an area of the semiconductor chip (Fig. 3 25) on which the driver transistor (Fig. 3 26) is mounted; such that the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,42,44) are located around a center portion of the area of the semiconductor chip on which the driver transistor is mounted, and are not located (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44 not in center) in the center portion of the area of the semiconductor chip on which the driver transistor is mounted, such that the monitor transistor (Fig. 1 18) is not located in the center portion of the area since the

Art Unit: 2838

monitor transistor is distributed throughout the semiconductor chip on which the driver transistor is mounted; and

wherein the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44) are located relative to the semiconductor chip and the driver transistor such that changes in a property of the monitor transistor caused when force is applied to the semiconductor chip are balanced since the plural transistors are symmetrically distributed around the periphery the change in property will be balanced due to averaging.

With respect to Claim 2, Devore discloses a semiconductor device as set forth above wherein the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44) are disposed within an area (Fig. 3 26) of the semiconductor chip (Fig. 3 25) on which the drive transistor is mounted.

With respect to Claim 3, Devore discloses a semiconductor device as set forth above wherein the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44) are disposed on the semiconductor chip (Fig. 3 25) at equal intervals.

With respect to Claim 4, Devore discloses the semiconductor device as set forth above wherein the driver transistor (Fig. 3 25) and the monitor transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44) are MOS transistors ("FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary lateral MOS configuration", column 4, lines 16-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (US 6,734,656) in view of Devore (US 5,408,141).

With respect to Claim 5, Miller discloses a voltage regulator (Fig. 7) provided with a constant voltage circuit part ("reference voltage supply" column 3, lines 60-64) including a driver transistor (Fig. 7 730) mounted on a semiconductor chip and an output current detection circuit part including a monitor transistor (Fig. 8 730-M) for detecting electric current flowing in the driver transistor (Fig. 8 730-(N-M)), the voltage regulator comprising a plurality of transistors (Fig. 8 M) provided in the monitor transistor and connected in parallel. Miller does not disclose the layout of the monitor transistors. Devore discloses a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor chip (Fig. 3 25); a driver transistor (Fig. 3 26) mounted on said semiconductor chip; a monitor transistor (Fig. 1 18) for detecting electric current flowing in said driver transistor; and a plurality of transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44) provided in the monitor transistor and connected in parallel; wherein the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44) are disposed at a periphery of an area of the semiconductor chip (Fig. 3 25) on which the driver transistor (Fig. 3 26) is mounted; such that the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,42,44) are located around a center portion of the area of the semiconductor chip on which the

Art Unit: 2838

driver transistor is mounted, and are not located (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44 not in center) in the center portion of the area of the semiconductor chip on which the driver transistor is mounted, such that the monitor transistor (Fig. 1 18) is not located in the center portion of the area since the monitor transistor is distributed throughout the semiconductor chip on which the driver transistor is mounted; and wherein the plural transistors (Fig. 3 38,40,43,44) are located relative to the semiconductor chip and the driver transistor such that changes in a property of the monitor transistor caused when force is applied to the semiconductor chip are balanced since the plural transistors are symmetrically distributed around the periphery the change in property will be balanced due to averaging. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to position the monitor transistors at the periphery of an area of the driver transistor. The reason for doing so was to eliminate “the effects of thermal gradients over the operation of the integrated device. As such, the sense device can accurately track the current output by the entire power device” (Devore column 2, lines 4-7).

With respect to Claims 6-7, Miller in view of Devore disclose the voltage regulator as set forth above. See claims 2 and 3, respectively, for additional details.

With respect to Claim 8, Miller in view of Devore disclose the voltage regulator as set forth above, wherein the output current detection circuit part (Fig. 8 770) is configured to change the electric current flowing in the monitor transistor into electric voltage and output the electric voltage (Fig. 7 V_{out} at 755).

With respect to Claim 10, Miller in view of Devore disclose the voltage regulator as set forth above. See claim 4 for additional details.

With respect to Claim 11, Miller in view of Devore disclose the voltage regulator as set forth above, wherein the constant voltage circuit part and the output current detection circuit part are integrated on a single integrated circuit (Devore Fig. 3 25).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (US 6,734,656) in view of Devore (US 5,408,141) further in view of Zadeh (US 6,522,111).

With respect to Claim 9, Miller in view of Devore disclose the voltage regulator as set forth above, wherein the constant voltage circuit part further includes a reference voltage generation circuit ("reference voltage supply", Miller column 3, lines 63-64) for generating and outputting a reference voltage and an operational amplifier circuit ("error amplifier circuitry 712", Miller column 3, lines 61-62) including a differential pair for controlling the operation of the driver transistor (Miller Fig. 7 730), wherein the output current detection is supplied to the controller (Fig. 710). Miller does not detail how the controller uses the current feedback. Zadeh teaches adaptive biasing wherein the output current detection circuit part (Fig. 2 214) is configured to supply an electric current to the differential pair of the operational amplifier circuit (Fig. 2 218), wherein the electric current supplied to the differential pair is proportional to the electric current flowing in the monitor transistor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the proportional electric current to the

Art Unit: 2838

operational amplifier. The reason for doing so was to provide adaptive biasing and "thereby improving transient responses" (Zadeh column 2, lines 7-8).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HARRY BEHM whose telephone number is (571)272-8929. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayprakash N. Gandhi can be reached on (571) 272-3740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harry Behm/
Examiner, Art Unit 2838